

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/629,607	07/30/2003	Takashi Fukui	108556.01	6125
25944 7	590 10/04/2004		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			BRUNSMAN, DAVID M	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/629,607	FUKUI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David M Brunsman	1755				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te. cause the application to become ARANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D. (35.U.S.C. & 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	—. s action is non-final.					
,	,					
closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims	,,,					
4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:		-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/778,735</u> .						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not received	d.				
<b></b>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	PTO-413)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20030730.		e tent Application (PTO-152)				
2.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ad	ction Summary Part	of Paper No./Mail Date 20040930				

Application/Control Number: 10/629,607

Art Unit: 1755

Page 2

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 4839097.

Table 3, examples 1-37, 63 and 64 teach dielectric ceramic compositions intended for formation into capacitors comprised of alternate stacked layer of said dielectric and electrodes (See figure 3). The dielectric composition comprises strontium titanate (x=1, y=0, m=1.0), 0-1 mole%  $Ta_2O_5$ , 0-3 mole%  $MnO_2$  and 0-3 mole% BaO. The reference meets every compositional limitation of the instant claims. The similar compositions, which are processed equivalently to the methods disclosed in the instant application, would be expected to exhibit similar properties including rate of change of the electrostatic capacity. Applicants' response of 04 February 2003, in the parent, admits the invention of the instant claims and that of the prior art perform the same manipulative steps on and using similar materials differing only in the reason for said addition which is recited and disclosed in the prior art.

Claims 1-3, 5-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 03-053407.

Table 2 of the reference examples 13-15 teach dielectric ceramic compositions comprising SrO-CaO-TiO<sub>2</sub> wherein x=0.33, y=1.0 and m=0.98 containing 1%  $V_2O_5$  and 0.05-0.20% MnO<sub>2</sub>. Example 20 teaches dielectric ceramic compositions comprising SrO-CaO-TiO<sub>2</sub> wherein x=0.33, y=1.0 and m=0.98 containing 1%  $V_2O_5$  and 0.20% SiO<sub>2</sub>. The similar compositions, which are processed equivalently to the methods disclosed in the

Application/Control Number: 10/629,607

Art Unit: 1755

instant application, would be expected to exhibit similar properties including rate of change of the electrostatic capacity.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 4-7, 9-12 and 23 of U.S. Patent No. 6656863. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because while the patent claims require an oxide of "R", as defined in the patented claims, be present they otherwise anticipate the instant claims in that they include every component thereof.

This is a division of applicant's earlier Application No. 09778735. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until

Art Unit: 1755

after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M Brunsman whose telephone number is 571-272-1365. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W, F, Sa; 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David M Brunsman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

**DMB** 

m